Effective Field Theory for Quark-Gluon Plasma by Bosonization

M.J.Luo[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China*

Based on an analogy with topologically ordered new state of matter in condensed matter systems, we propose a low energy effective field theory for quark-gluon plasma in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) system. It shows that below a QCD gap which is several times of the critical temperature, the quark-gluon plasma behaves like a topological fluid.

arXiv:1408.6289v2 [hep-ph] 30 Sep 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) based on non-Abelian gauge field theory was well established as the fundamental theory of the strong interaction. However, because of its strong coupling nature at low energy or long distance, from the day the exact theory was written down, its low energy behavior is still being poorly understood by solving the first principle theory. Although we already have a low energy effective theory based on the Goldstone's theorem under the condition of chiral symmetry breaking (for a review, see: [1]), there is no analog foundation to formulate a low energy effective theory with neither symmetry breaking nor order parameter, more specifically, applying to the disordered quark-gluon plasma phase.

A crucial phenomenological clue to the problem comes from the experimental studies of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collision (RHIC), in which new state of matter of strong interaction is produced. It is discovered, at sufficiently high temperature and density, that the nucleons in the collision are deconfined into constituent quarks and gluons and form a soup of quark-gluon plasma. Important experimental facts of the quark-gluon plasma are the following: (i) The long distance low frequency behavior of the quark-gluon plasma around the critical temperature T_c is well described by the theory of fluid mechanics (hydrodynamics) [2, 3]. (ii) The quark-gluon plasma around T_c looks like a strong correlated and near-perfect liquid [4], the pressure, numbers of transport properties (e.g. conductivity, diffusion and viscosity) are small, strongly deviating from the behavior of a weakly coupled ideal gas predicted from the perturbative QCD. (iii) The charge of the deconfined quark or parton excitation in the quark-gluon plasma is fractionalized [5]. The purpose of the paper is to propose a topological dominant effective field theory for the quark-gluon plasma by bosonizing the gauge invariant QCD that I feel are consistent with the known experimental facts.

The connection between a pure gluon plasma in hard thermal loop limit and the topological Chern-Simons theory has been discussed in literature [6, 7]. The remarkable relation between the quark-gluon plasma and topological field theories can be generalized to a more generic level. The quark-gluon plasma phase is a deconfined phase where the bound state hadrons are decomposed into quarks and gluons at high temperature and density. The situation is analogous with the case in condensed matter physics such as the gauge symmetric Heisenberg spin system that at high enough temperature and chemical potential where the system is well into a deconfined phase, the Heisenberg spins are decomposed into constituent holons and spinons and form a plasma phase which enjoy a new type of order called topological order/phase (see, for example, [8, 9] at low dimensions, [10–12] in 3+1 dimensions). The ground state of the topologically ordered matter is not associated with spontaneous symmetry breaking, protected by a gap, and topological fluid (see, for example, [13–15] in 2+1 dimensions) as the low energy degree of freedom in many aspects is analogous with the fluid-like plasma of quark-gluon around the critical temperature and chemical potential. The topological fluid is in general incompressible, with excitation carrying fractional charges [16–18], characterized by having non-trivial ground state degeneracies [8, 19] on topological non-trivial compact manifold.

Bosonization is a proper description to such bosonic fluids system, especially at finite chemical potential because of a more controllable sign structure than fermion [20, 21]. The validity of bosonization approach in the real 3+1 dimensional QCD is based on the facts: (i) lattice data show that quark (baryon) masses seem to be large in the strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma near T_c [22], (ii) a massive fermionic theory and the bosonized descriptions share the same macroscopic limit which is dominated by topological degrees of freedom [12].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section II, we construct a basic formulation of a bosonized low energy effective field action for the quark-gluon plasma. In subsection A of the section III, we derive the classical equations of motion and argue the dyonic nature of the fluids. In subsection B, a hard-thermal-loops effective action related to Chern-Simons theory is reproduced. In subsection C, we explain why the hydrodynamics description is so successful. In subsection D, linear responses of the theory are studied, more specifically, the ratio of shear viscosity over entropy density of an homogeneous and isotropic quark-gluon plasma is derived at Bogomol'nyi bound. In subsection E, the fractionalization of charge for quark/parton in the plasma is explained. In section IV, we summarize the results.

II. BOSONIC EFFECTIVE ACTION

Both for mathematical and physical reasons, in a strongly coupled many-body system, what we observed are gauge invariant responses to external (in practice electromagnetic) probe, and hence the notion of response is more proper than the unobserved microscopic fundamental particles. The bosonization approach starts from replacing the fermionic fundamental quarks by the responses to an external weak U(1) gauge field being a source minimally coupling to them,

$$\langle j_{\mu_1}(x_1)j_{\mu_2}(x_2)...j_{\mu_n}(x_n)\rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta A^{ex}_{\mu_1}(x_1)}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^{ex}_{\mu_2}(x_2)}...\frac{\delta}{\delta A^{ex}_{\mu_n}(x_n)}\ln Z[A^{ex}], \tag{1}$$

where

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}G \exp\left(-\int d^4x\bar{\psi}\left[i\gamma_\mu\left(\partial_\mu + iG_\mu + \mu\delta_{\mu 0} + iA^{ex}_\mu\right)\right]\psi - S_{YM}[G]\right). \tag{2}$$

The ψ are quarks, G_μ are gluon gauge fields, μ is the chemical potential, A^{ex}_μ are external U(1) source, and $S_{YM}[G]$ is the Yang-Mills action for gluons. The form of $Z[A^{ex}]$ can be determined as follows. By using the U(1) gauge invariance $Z[A^{ex}] = Z[A^{ex} + a]$, where a is a pure gauge, i.e. $f_{\alpha\beta}(a) = \partial_\alpha a_\beta - \partial_\beta a_\alpha = 0$. The pure gauge condition can be imposed by inserting a delta function into the functional integral,

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \mathcal{D}a Z[A^{ex} + a]\left(\prod_x \prod_{\mu<\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\delta[f_{\alpha\beta}(a(x))]\right). \tag{3}$$

The delta function can be exponentiated by introducing an auxiliary anti-symmetric 2-form gauge fields $b_{\mu\nu}$ as Lagrangian multipliers,

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \mathcal{D}a\mathcal{D}b Z[A^{ex} + a]\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4\pi}\int d^4x\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}b_{\mu\nu}f_{\alpha\beta}(a)\right), \tag{4}$$

in which the term in the exponent is purely topological known as the BF action (for a review see: [23]), and the prefactor $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ is for convention. The prefactor can be in general defined as $\frac{\mathsf{m}}{4\pi}$, $\mathsf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ plays a similar role of a Chern-number in quantum Hall systems characterizing its topological order, the integer-valued m so defined characterizes the topological order of the ground state of the system, a value $\mathsf{m} \neq 1$ represents a fractionalized topological order.

The auxiliary gauge field b is of $(D-2)$-form where D is spacetime dimensions. In the paper we will focus on the real QCD system $D = 4$, so b field is of a 2-form. By doing a variable replacement $a \to a - A^{ex}$, we obtain

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \mathcal{D}a\mathcal{D}b Z[a]\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4\pi}\int d^4x\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}b_{\mu\nu}\left[f_{\alpha\beta}(a) - f_{\alpha\beta}(A^{ex})\right]\right). \tag{5}$$

By using Eq.(1), the above partition function indicates a bosonization correspondence for the flow

$$j_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\nu b_{\alpha\beta}(x), \tag{6}$$

which is gauge invariant under the transformations

$$b_{\mu\nu} \to b_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\eta_\nu - \partial_\nu\eta_\mu. \tag{7}$$

Note that the flow is automatically conserved

$$\partial_\mu j_\mu \equiv 0. \tag{8}$$

The non-dynamical component a_0 coupled to the density j_0 plays the role of a Lagrangian multiplier similar with a chemical potential.

Beside a_0, there is another non-dynamical Lagrangian multiplier $b_{0i} = -b_{i0}$, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, which implies the existence of a spin/angular momentum density tensor

$$s_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha a_\beta(x). \tag{9}$$

It can be interpreted as a spin or angular momentum density because it is a response to an external magnetic field which will be shown as following. If we add a kinetic term of $b_{\mu\nu}$ with infinite mass into the parenthesis of the Eq.(5) as a regulator,

$$S \to S + S_{reg}, \quad S_{reg} = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{12\lambda^2} \int d^4x h_{\mu\nu\rho} h_{\mu\nu\rho}, \tag{10}$$

where $h_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu b_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu b_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho b_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of $b_{\mu\nu}$, and λ is a mass parameter. By integrating out the $b_{\mu\nu}$ field, we obtain an effective coupling between $s_{\mu\nu}$ and external source field,

$$S_{int} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x s_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{ex}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{11}$$

in which $\tilde{F}^{ex}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha A^{ex}_\beta$ is an external magnetic field. So we prove that the tensor $s_{\mu\nu}$ is a response to the external magnetic field,

$$\langle s_{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1) s_{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2) ... s_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)\rangle = (4\pi)^n \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{F}^{ex}_{\mu_1\nu_1}(x_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{F}^{ex}_{\mu_2\nu_2}(x_2)} ... \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{F}^{ex}_{\mu_n\nu_n}(x_n)} \ln Z[A^{ex}]. \tag{12}$$

In the rest of the paper we will not distinguish the terminologies spin and angular momentum, but using "spin" for convention.

A spin current can be defined by the spin density tensor

$$M_{[\mu\nu]\alpha} = \partial_\alpha s_{\mu\nu}. \tag{13}$$

It is easy to verify that the spin current satisfies 6 continuity equations

$$\partial_\alpha M_{[\mu\nu]\alpha} \equiv 0. \tag{14}$$

In addition, there is another continuity equation, note that a Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector constructed by the spin current

$$W_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} M_{[\alpha\beta]\nu}, \tag{15}$$

is also automatically satisfied

$$\partial_\mu W_\mu \equiv 0. \tag{16}$$

The independence of the continuity equations for charge and spin currents manifests an important fact that the carriers of charge and spin in the fluids are no longer identified, in contrast to the conventional quasi-particle picture in which it carries both. In other words, there are two types of currents in the fluids [10], which is known as charge-spin separation in condensed matter physics.

So far the bosonization recipe is quite general and is able to apply to a gapped or gapless system, but only in 1+1 dimensions the $Z[a]$ can be written down exactly, in other dimensions $Z[a]$ can only be approximately evaluated. The $Z[a]$ now encodes almost all the physics of QCD, which needs some guess work. Benefited from an intrinsic gap [24] Λ in QCD, the $Z[a]$ can be obtained at low energy by inverse gap expansion. As is shown in [25], the gap must be as large as several times of T_c. The gap defines a boundary of the validity of our low energy effective theory. Fortunately, even without knowing the exact value of the gap Λ, two leading actions can be explicitly written down based on the principle of gauge invariance. The two leading terms have no dimensional parameter, so they are marginal in the RG sense. We have

$$Z[a] = \exp\left(-\frac{i\theta}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} f_{\mu\nu}(a) f_{\alpha\beta}(a) - \frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x f_{\mu\nu}(a) f^{\mu\nu}(a) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^4/\Lambda^2)\right). \tag{17}$$

The first term is the θ-term which is topological and always marginal at low energy, the second term is the dynamical Maxwell term in which the coupling constant becomes large at low energy and hence its contribution is suppressed compared with the topological terms. The parameter θ is an angle with periodicity 2π, and the θ-term generally breaks the parity and time-reversal symmetries unless it is quantized as $\theta = \nu\pi$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the topological winding number. It is worth stressing that the experimental bounds on the θ parameter in QCD (from the dipole moment of the neutron) is either exceedingly small $\theta < 10^{-9}$ or very close to $\theta = \pi$. The following discussions are based on a nontrivial choice $\theta = \pi$ in the quark-gluon plasma.

There are two remarks to $Z[a]$ taking the form as Eq.(17): (1) such expansion form works only at low energy below the gap Λ, and the results in the rest of the paper are achieved below the gap; (2) it is such a form that is remarkably successful in re-interpretating the gauge fields a_μ,$b_{\mu\nu}$ and their classical equations of motion as certain hydrodynamic fluids in the ground state, which satisfy a series of hydrodynamic equations phenomenologically proposed. In other words, such form of $Z[a]$ gives a simple hydrodynamic re-interpretation of the QCD ground state, which will be shown in the section-III.

So put everything together, it contains only relevant and marginal terms and should be compatible with the gauge invariance, the bosonized low energy effective action is given by

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \mathcal{D}a\mathcal{D}b \exp\left(-S_{eff}\right), \tag{18}$$

with

$$S_{eff}(a,b) = \frac{i}{4\pi}\int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} b_{\mu\nu}\left[f_{\alpha\beta}(a) - f_{\alpha\beta}(A^{ex})\right] + \frac{i\theta}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} f_{\mu\nu}(a) f_{\alpha\beta}(a) + \frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x f_{\mu\nu}(a) f^{\mu\nu}(a), \tag{19}$$

in which the fermionic quarks are bosonized by two types of gauge fields: 1-form a_μ and 2-form $b_{\mu\nu}$. The first two terms are topological term, and always take imaginary i in its prefactor no matter in Euclidean or Minkovski metric since the topological term is metric independent. Here the imaginary-defined topological terms after the bosonization play the role of a non-trivial sign structure of fermions, which is insteadly responsible for the notorious sign problem for the original fermionic system at finite chemical potential. While the third term is non-topological and metric dependent, it is real in Euclidean metric or imaginary in Minkovski metric, the paper is using the Euclidean metric for convention.

One may wonder that since the system is gapped, there seems no Fermi surface. But remind that the system is bosonized, the chemical potential μ can also be well-defined which formally shifts the non-dynamical time component of gauge field A_0^{ex}, as if the quarks fill the Fermi sphere in the equivalent bosonized QCD.

III. GENERAL FEATURES

A. Plasma with both Electric and Magnetic Charges

At criticality, the fixed point coupling constant g is large, then the first two topological terms in Eq.(19) dominate the low energy theory at the criticality, while the dynamical Maxwell term can be seen as a perturbation. The classical equations of motion of the topological action are given by,

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta a_\mu} = 0: \quad j_\mu + \frac{\theta}{2\pi} j^m_\mu = 0. \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta b_{\mu\nu}} = 0: \quad f_{\alpha\beta}(a) - f_{\alpha\beta}(A^{ex}) = 0. \tag{21}$$

The first equation of motion shows a relation between the electric current and the magnetic monopole current $j^m_\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\partial_\nu \tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$ in the fluid, i.e. $e = q_m\theta/2\pi$ [26], where e is the fundamental electric charge unit and q_m a magnetic charge. In the theory with $\theta = \pi \neq 0$, the fluid carries both electric and magnetic charges [27, 28]. As is well known that in the 2+1 dimensional topological Chern-Simons theory gauge field plays the role of attaching fluxes to electrons, in 3+1 dimensions the gauge field a_μ is attaching a monopole to the electron (known as dyon). We will see in the latter discussion that this dyonic property is crucial for a small value of shear viscosity over entropy density of the quark-gluon plasma. The second equation of motion is a constraint because the b field plays the role of a Lagrangian multiplier, or equivalently, the mass of the b field can be considered infinitely heavy shown as Eq.(10).

B. Hydrodynamic Equations

As discussed in Section II, the topological action describes a hydrodynamic effective field theory for two types of topological fluids, the charge current and spin current. Here we have 1+6 continuity equations, one continuity equation for the charge current Eq.(8) and 6 continuity equations for the spin currents Eq.(14),

$$\partial_\mu j_\mu = 0, \quad \partial_\alpha M_{[\mu\nu]\alpha} = 0. \tag{22}$$

In general, there is a relation connecting an energy-momentum tensor to the spin current

$$M_{[\mu\nu]\alpha}(x) = \delta x_\mu T_{\nu\alpha}(x) - \delta x_\nu T_{\mu\alpha}(x), \tag{23}$$

in which δx is an infinitesimal deviation from point x. Then the continuity equations for the spin current are given by

$$\partial_\alpha M_{[\mu\nu]\alpha} = T_{\nu\mu} + \delta x_\mu \partial_\alpha T_{\nu\alpha} - T_{\mu\nu} - \delta x_\nu \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\alpha} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Under the condition that the energy-momentum tensor is symmetric $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu}$, one can recognize that the 6 continuity equations for the spin currents naturally contain the 4 continuity equations for energy-momentum,

$$\partial_\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{25}$$

In addition, the classical equation of motion Eq.(20) $j_\mu = -\frac{\theta}{2\pi} M_{[\mu\nu]\nu}$ implies a relation between the current and the stress-energy tensor so defined, for $\theta = \pi$, we have $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu j_\nu + \partial_\nu j_\mu\right) + g_{\mu\nu} T_{\alpha\alpha}$. The relation naturally leads to a traceless condition $T_{\alpha\alpha} = 0$, so the stress-energy tensor is related to current by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu j_\nu + \partial_\nu j_\mu\right). \tag{26}$$

If we define the flow v_μ as

$$j_i = \rho v_i = -D\partial_i \rho, \tag{27}$$

where D is a diffusion constant with dimension of length and $\rho = j_0$ is the flow density, we obtain

$$\partial_0\left(\rho v_j\right) = D\partial_i T_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3). \tag{28}$$

By using Eq.(26 and 27), we have

$$D\partial_i T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} D\partial_i\left[\partial_i\left(\rho v_j\right) + \partial_j\left(\rho v_i\right)\right] = -\partial_i\left(\rho v_i v_j\right) + D\rho\nabla^2 v_j, \tag{29}$$

so the Eq.(28) (the classical equation of motion Eq.(20)) are actually the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible fluid without pressure,

$$\partial_0\left(\rho v_j\right) + \partial_i\left(\rho v_i v_j\right) = \eta\nabla^2 v_j, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3). \tag{30}$$

in which $\eta = D\rho$ here is a viscosity constant.

In summary, the ground state of the theory is described by topological fluids satisfying hydrodynamical equations: continuity equation of current, conservation of stress-energy tensor Eq.(25), the traceless of stress-energy tensor, and the Navier-Stokes equations Eq.(30). These relations explain the fact (i) of quark-gluon plasma.

C. Nearly Perfect Liquid

It is worth stressing that since the low energy effective theory is dominated by the topological terms, so in principle, there is no strict concepts of metric and energy, one may wonder why we can talk about the the energy-momentum tensor defined above. The reason is that the energy-momentum tensor defined above just describes classical flows or distributions of the charge and spin currents in the ground state gapped from the excitations, which are perfect fluids. Like the Hall fluids which have vanishing viscosity, if the low energy behavior of quark-gluon fluids here are solely governed by the topological terms, they have no viscosity either (completely perfect). When the excitation states coming from the non-topological terms are taken into account, internal frictions appear and the fluid becomes dissipation. At temperature well below the gap which protects the topological order, the deviation from viscousless is small, so the fluids still behave nearly perfect. This property of the theory is associated with the fact (ii).

The terminology "incompressible" of the fluids is tantamount to "topological", more precisely, since the partition function given by the topological fixed point action only depends on the topology of the manifold, so it is exactly responseless to the compressing or expanding the 3-volume of the manifold. As a result, the quantities like pressure and bulk viscosity of quark-gluon plasma are identically zero predicted from the lowest order topological theory.

To consider the transport beyond the lowest order result, one can first integrate out the a and b field, the effective fixed point action becomes

$$S_{eff}(A^{ex}) = \frac{i\theta}{8\pi^2}\int d^4x\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu A^{ex}_\nu(t,\mathbf{x})\partial_\alpha A^{ex}_\beta(t,\mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(A^{ex})F^{\mu\nu}(A^{ex}), \tag{31}$$

which can be viewed as an action for the U(1) fields in a quark-gluon plasma medium. The first term is the θ-term of external U(1) source which is topological, the second term is the dynamical Maxwell term which is also expected being suppressed at low energy since the integration over QCD fields gives a Debye gap. The transport of the effective theory e.g. the conductivity, charge susceptibility and shear viscosity, therefore take small values that are of order of the dynamical Maxwell term. The following calculations are doing at zero temperature, and the finite temperature results can be generalized by standard Matsubara summation procedures.

Since the fluid is incompressible if we only consider the topological term, the topological term only gives a current transport on the boundary of the quark-gluon plasma, there is no net current in its bulk. The current transport or conductivity in the bulk solely gives rise from the Maxwell term,

$$\sigma = \lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{1}{\omega}\frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}(A^{ex})}{\delta A^{ex}_i(\omega,k=0)\delta A^{ex}_j(0,0)}P^\perp_{ij} = \lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{1}{2g^2}\frac{\omega^2}{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{2g^2}, \tag{32}$$

in which $P^\perp_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - k_i k_j/|\mathbf{k}|^2$ is the transverse projector. Note that the chemical potential μ can be seen as a shift of the non-dynamical A^{ex}_0, i.e. $A^{ex}_0(\omega=0,\mathbf{k})$, then the charge susceptibility can be calculated by

$$\chi = \frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}}{\delta\mu^2} = \lim_{|\mathbf{k}|\to 0}\frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}(A^{ex})}{\delta A^{ex}_0(\omega=0,\mathbf{k})\delta A^{ex}_0(0,0)} = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2g^2}. \tag{33}$$

One can find that the ratio between the conductivity and susceptibility matches the Einstein relation,

$$\frac{\sigma}{\chi} = \frac{\omega}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} = D, \tag{34}$$

in which D is the charge diffusion constant. For a special interest, the value of D can be determined on self-dual configurations shown as follows.

An important observation to the Maxwell action of the system is that a lower bound can be realized on self-dual configurations $F_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$, it is known as the Bogomol'nyi bound,

$$\frac{1}{4g^2}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \geqslant S_{S.D.} \overset{\text{Self-Dual}}{\equiv} \frac{8\pi^2|Q|}{g^2}, \tag{35}$$

where $Q = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the instanton number.

As a first consequence of the self-duality, it leads to a relation $\omega^2 = |\mathbf{k}|^2$ in Euclidean metric for the self-dual zero mode. Thus the charge diffusion constant D in Eq.(34) equals to $D = 1/\omega$ on the self-dual configurations, which at the lowest Matsubara frequency $\omega = 2\pi T$ takes value $D = \frac{1}{2\pi T}$, agreeing with [29]. The smallness of the diffusion constant is because it stems from the zero mode of the fluids, but rather the excited states.

If we set $g = 4\pi/N$ and replace ω by the lowest Matsubara frequency $\omega = 2\pi T$, then the conductivity and susceptibility agree with [29]

$$\sigma = \frac{N^2 T}{16\pi}, \quad \chi = \frac{N^2|\mathbf{k}|^2 T}{16\pi\omega}. \tag{36}$$

The second consequence of the self-duality is about the shear viscosity, which is related to component of correlation function of stress-energy tensor being a response to metric. Differ from the topological terms, the Maxwell term is the only term in the effective action containing metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Strictly speaking, all physics of QCD are encoded in $Z[a]$, to derive shear viscosity of quark-gluon liquids, we need to vary with respect to the metric perturbations of Maxwell term in $Z[a]$, but when a and b fields are integrated out, it is equivalent to vary with respect to the metric perturbation in the effective action $S_{eff}(A^{ex}) = -\ln Z[A^{ex}]$. We will rewrite the action by explicitly including the metric (in order to distinguish between the gauge coupling and metric, we insteadly use g_* to represent the gauge coupling),

$$S = \frac{1}{4g_*^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{37}$$

then doing the functional derivative with respect to the metric and finally taking the limit of flat metric back

$$\lim_{\sqrt{g}\to 1}\frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}(A^{ex})}{\delta g_{xy}\delta g_{xy}}=\frac{1}{4g_*^2}\left(F_{xy}F_{xy}-\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}\right). \tag{38}$$

By considering the quark-gluon plasma is homogeneous and isotropic ($|F_{xy}|=|F_{xz}|=|F_{yz}|$), so that the component satisfies $F_{xy}F_{xy}=\frac{1}{6}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$. For the field strengths in the action are homogeneous, the two-point correlation function of stress-energy tensor $G_{xy,xy}$, is just given by the average action density,

$$G_{xy,xy}(\omega,k)=\lim_{\sqrt{g}\to 1}\frac{\delta^2\ln Z[A^{ex}]}{\delta g_{xy}(\omega,k)\delta g_{xy}(0,0)}\geqslant\frac{8\pi^2\left|Q\right|}{3g_*^2V_4}, \tag{39}$$

in which V_4 is a Euclidean 4-volume defined as $\ln Z(x)=V_4\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\ln Z(k)$. Then, by using the Kubo formula, the minimal value of action gives lower bound to a shear viscosity

$$\eta=\lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{1}{2\omega}G_{xy,xy}(\omega,k)\geqslant\frac{2\pi\left|Q\right|}{3g_*^2V_3}. \tag{40}$$

in which we have used $\lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{1}{\omega V_4}=\frac{1}{2\pi V_3}$. An interesting observation is that the shear viscosity is proportional to the instanton density $\rho_{ins}=\left|Q\right|/V_3$, or related gluon condensate.

On the other hand, considering the thermodynamic relation for the entropy density $s=\partial p/\partial T$, moreover, because the the system is isotropic, $p_x=p_y=p_z=p$, then the pressure is related to the energy density by $\epsilon=3p$, which can be given by the minimal value of the action $\epsilon=-\frac{T}{V_3}\ln Z=\frac{T}{V_3}S_{S.D.}$. Finally we have

$$s=\frac{8\pi^2\left|Q\right|}{3g_*^2V_3}. \tag{41}$$

The entropy density averaged shear viscosity is a dimensionless quantity, which measures a pure quantum (zero temperature) originated intrinsic viscosity,

$$\frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4\pi}, \tag{42}$$

in a quark-gluon plasma with self-dual gauge field configurations.

It is worth emphasizing that the self-duality here is crucial for not only achieving the small result of diffusion constant D but also the η/s. It reflects the fact that the small value of these quantities are closely related to a mixture of equal importance of the electric and magnetic components of the plasma, which has been discussed in [27, 30–32]. This small value of η/s is also obtained from another self-dual theory [29, 33], the AdS/CFT correspondence, in which people conjectures that the constant is a universal lower bound. The assumption of isotropy is also important for the result, since without isotropic the lower bound of the action can not constrain each component of the shear viscosity, and hence certain component may be lower than $1/4\pi$ and break the conjecture.

D. Fractionalized Charge

In this section we show that the fact (iii) is also a natural consequence of the effective theory. Charge fractionalization is an important mathematical feature of the topological action, and hence be a characteristic of the quark-gluon plasma phase being a topological phase. Following the form of the effective action Eq.(19), we drop the Maxwell term and focus on the topological action. Considering effective field action for each parton field (labelled by flavor index $I=1,2,...,\mathsf{m}$) are in its fractionalized topological order characterized by coefficient m,

$$S^{(I)}=\frac{i\mathsf{m}}{2\pi}\int d^4x\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}b^{(I)}_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha a^{(I)}_\beta+\frac{i\theta}{8\pi^2}\int d^4x\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu a^{(I)}_\nu\partial_\alpha a^{(I)}_\beta+\int d^4x j^{(I)}_\mu A^{ex}_\mu \tag{43}$$

in which $j^{(I)}_\mu=\frac{\mathsf{m}}{2\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\nu b^{(I)}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the parton current. Like the Chern-Simons theory of the fractional quantum Hall fluids in which the Chern-number characterizes its topological order, the fractionalized topological order of each parton in the plasma is characterized by the integer number m in its action, which must be odd for the fermion statistic of each parton.

Since the effective coefficient m_{eff} in an effective action for total partons follows the composition law $\mathsf{m}_{eff}^{-1} = \sum_I \mathsf{m}_I^{-1}$ from the coefficient of each parton, hence we can prove that the effective action is given by

$$Z[A^{ex}] = \int \prod_I \mathcal{D}a^{(I)}\mathcal{D}b^{(I)} \exp\left(-\sum_I S^{(I)}\right) = \int \mathcal{D}a\mathcal{D}b \exp\left(-S_{eff}\right) \tag{44}$$

in which

$$S_{eff} = \frac{i}{2\pi}\int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} b_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha a_\beta + \frac{i\theta}{8\pi^2}\int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu a_\nu \partial_\alpha a_\beta + \int d^4x j_\mu A_\mu^{ex}, \tag{45}$$

with fractionalized charges and parton fields satisfying

$$e = \sum_I e_I = 1, \quad a_\mu = \sum_I a_\mu^{(I)}, \quad b_{\mu\nu} = \sum_I b_{\mu\nu}^{(I)}, \quad j_\mu = \sum_I j_\mu^{(I)}. \tag{46}$$

The observed effective charge e is quantized by fundamental unit, but here the current j_μ seems as a composite current and can be splited into constitutes with fractionalized charges $e_I = e/\mathsf{m}$ in its fractionalized topological order. In this sense, the plasma phase is a deconfined phase. In the soup of quark-gluon plasma, the baryon with integer electric charge is splited into three individual quarks with fractionalized electric charges. In this framework, the exotic assumption that quarks have fractional electric charge $(e/3)$ is a natural consequence of the deconfined topologically ordered phase characterized by the odd integer $\mathsf{m} = 3$.

Reminding that there are two independent fluids in the plasma, the charge current and spin current. It is worth noting that the fractionalization does not apply to the spin current. Opposite to the direct coupling between the charge current and the external gauge field A^{ex}, the coupling between the spin density and the external magnetic field is indirect, mediating by the infinitely massive b fields. The b field, as is proved, could be splited into constitutes $b^{(I)}$ and hence the corresponding coupling between $b^{(I)}$ and external magnetic field $\tilde{F}^{ex}$ is fractionalized, however, the $\tilde{F}^{ex}$ can not couple to each individual spin constitute $s_{\mu\nu}^{(I)} = \frac{\mathsf{m}}{2\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha a_\beta^{(I)}$ since all intermediate constitute $b^{(I)}$ fields must be integrated out. Thus the coupling constant between spin density and external magnetic field is not fractionalized into smaller units, i.e. spin or angular momentum does not split.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we show remarkable connection of low energy effective field theory of quark-gluon plasma with topological quantum field theory, and with hydrodynamic theory. In many aspects the deconfined quark-gluon plasma phase of strong interaction system is analogous to the topological phase in condensed matter systems. In fact, the central argument of the paper is that it is indeed a topological phase [34]. The low energy degrees of freedoms in the topological phase are incompressible quantum fluids which are analogous with the nearly perfect quark-gluon liquid around T_c discovered at RHIC. Bosonization approach makes an effective description to the topological phase and low energy topological fluid possible, due to the fact that a gauge theory with massive fermions and the bosonized description share the same topological limit. Below the massive scale, which is expected several times of T_c, the bosonization approach is valid, and the effective action Eq.(19) is the central result of the paper, which is suggested as an infrared fixed point action for the QCD system coupling to an U(1) external source. In such a theory, the quark-gluon plasma is effectively described by two types of fluids, more precisely, by hydrodynamics continuity equations of charge current and spin/angular momentum current. The smallness of pressure and bulk viscosity of the quark-gluon plasma around T_c, as well as the charge fractionalization of the excitations are naturally explained from its topological nature. Both the electric and magnetic components are equally important and half-half mixing in the quark-gluon liquids, which is also topologically originated. Indeed, the electric-magnetic self-duality is closely related to achieving a small value of diffusion constant and η/s, making the quark-gluon liquids most perfect liquids.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No.11205149.

[1] S. Scherer, in *Advances in Nuclear Physics, Volume 27* (Springer, 2003), pp. 277–538.

[2] P. F. Kolb, P. Huovinen, U. Heinz, and H. Heiselberg, Physics Letters B **500**, 232 (2001).
[3] P. F. Kolb and R. Rapp, Physical Review C **67**, 044903 (2003).
[4] E. Shuryak, Nuclear Physics A **750**, 64 (2005).
[5] S. Jeon and V. Koch, Physical Review Letters **85**, 2076 (2000).
[6] R. Efraty and V. Nair, Physical review letters **68**, 2891 (1992).
[7] R. Efraty and V. Nair, Physical Review D **47**, 5601 (1993).
[8] X.-G. Wen, Physical Review B **44**, 2664 (1991).
[9] X.-G. Wen, Physical Review B **65**, 165113 (2002).
[10] M. C. Diamantini and C. A. Trugenberger, arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.3281 (2011).
[11] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, Physical Review X **3**, 011016 (2013).
[12] A. Chan, T. L. Hughes, S. Ryu, and E. Fradkin, Physical Review B **87**, 085132 (2013).
[13] J. Fröhlich and A. Zee, Nuclear Physics B **364**, 517 (1991).
[14] A. Zee, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement **107**, 77 (1992).
[15] X.-g. Wen and A. Zee, Physical Review B **46**, 2290 (1992).
[16] R. B. Laughlin, Physical Review Letters **50**, 1395 (1983).
[17] F. D. M. Haldane, Physical Review Letters **51**, 605 (1983).
[18] J. Jain, Physical Review B **40**, 8079 (1989).
[19] X.-G. Wen and Q. Niu, Physical Review B **41**, 9377 (1990).
[20] K. Wu, Z. Weng, and J. Zaanen, arXiv preprint arXiv:0802.0273 (2008).
[21] M. Luo, arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.5285 (2014).
[22] J. Liao and E. V. Shuryak, Physical Review D **73**, 014509 (2006).
[23] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski, and G. Thompson, Physics Reports **209**, 129 (1991).
[24] V. N. Gribov, Nuclear Physics B **139**, 1 (1978).
[25] D. Zwanziger, Physical review letters **94**, 182301 (2005).
[26] E. Witten, Physics Letters B **86**, 283 (1979).
[27] J. Liao and E. Shuryak, Physical Review C **75**, 054907 (2007).
[28] M. Chernodub and V. Zakharov, Physical review letters **98**, 082002 (2007).
[29] G. Policastro, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets, Journal of High Energy Physics **2002**, 043 (2002).
[30] J. Liao and E. Shuryak, arXiv preprint arXiv:0809.2419 (2008).
[31] J. Liao and E. Shuryak, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics **35**, 104058 (2008).
[32] J. Liao and E. Shuryak, Physical review letters **101**, 162302 (2008).
[33] G. Policastro, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets, Physical Review Letters **87**, 081601 (2001).
[34] A. R. Zhitnitsky, Annals Phys. **336**, 462 (2013), 1301.7072.